







UK AND EU:

Remapping Security Notions of Al & Human Rights in Post-Brexit

Mehdi Zakerian Amiri

Associate Professor of Human Rights,
Faculty of Law & Poltical Science,
Islamic Azad Uuniversity, Science & Research Branch

Talieh Sakhamanesh

Visting Lecturer of Foreign Languages Department, Islamic Azad University, Science & Research Branch, Expert of Britain Studies

Project funded by European Commission Erasmus + Programme – Jean Monnet Action Project No. 101126599.

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

GLOBACT TRANSNATIONAL CRIME AND EU LAW:

towards Global Action against Cross-border Threats to common security, rule of law, and human rights

Coordinator Prof. Dr. Anna Oriolo









1. Introduction

 Overview of the UK's exit from the EU and its implications on security notions related to AI and HR

❖ Brexit → Security Cooperation → Integration of Al

Protection of Human Rights









2. Ethical Principles & Guidelines for Al

Figure 1. A schematic summary of recommended ethical principles and guidelines for Al in all interdisciplinary fields (Source: Mennella, 2024: e26297)











3. The Significance of remapping security notions in the context of AI and human rights post-Brexit

1. Changes in Security Cooperation Post-Brexit:

The UK's withdrawal from the EU has necessitated a reevaluation of security cooperation mechanisms, impacting information sharing, joint operations, and collaborative efforts in Al and human rights.

2. Role of AI in Security:

The integration of AI technologies in security measures has gained prominence in both the UK and the EU. Understanding the challenges and opportunities associated with AI in post-Brexit security frameworks is crucial for reshaping security notions.

3. Human Rights Frameworks:

Brexit has implications for human rights protections and their intersection with security practices. Analyzing the impact of Brexit on human rights considerations in security policies is essential for navigating the evolving landscape.

4. Remapping Security Notions:

The UK and EU are undergoing shifts in security paradigms post-Brexit, necessitating a remapping of security notions. This process involves integrating AI and human rights considerations into the evolving security strategies.

5. Comparative Analysis:

Conducting a comparative analysis of how the UK and EU are adapting security notions post-Brexit provides valuable insights into the divergent approaches and potential areas of convergence in integrating AI and human rights frameworks.

In examining these points, this study aims to offer a comprehensive understanding of the implications of remapping security notions related to AI and human rights in the post-Brexit era.









4. The Impact of Brexit on Security Cooperation

Changes in security cooperation between the UK and the EU post-Brexit



- Remapping Security Notions
- Shifts in security paradigms and strategies post-Brexit
- Reevaluation of traditional security notions in light of changing geopolitical dynamics



- Implications for information sharing and joint operations
- Examples of how the UK and EU are adapting their security approaches in the post-Brexit era
 - Lessons learned and best practices for remapping security notions









5. Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Security

Role of AI in Enhancing Security Measures:

The adoption of AI technologies in surveillance, data analysis, and predictive modeling has significantly enhanced security measures in the UK and EU, enabling more efficient threat detection and response mechanisms.

Potential Challenges in AI Integration:

The integration of AI in post-Brexit security frameworks poses challenges related to data privacy, regulatory compliance, and cross-border data sharing, requiring careful navigation of legal and ethical considerations.

Opportunities for AI in Security Cooperation:

Al presents opportunities for improving collaboration between the UK and EU in addressing common security challenges, facilitating information sharing, and streamlining joint operational efforts.

Regulatory Frameworks and Standards:

Establishing regulatory frameworks and standards for AI integration in security operations is essential to ensure transparency, accountability, and compliance with data protection regulations in a post-Brexit context.

5. Future Directions for AI in Security:

Examining the potential of AI technologies to enhance security cooperation between the UK and EU post-Brexit offers insights into future directions for leveraging AI capabilities in addressing complex security threats and safeguarding shared interests.

By exploring these points, this study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the role of AI in enhancing security measures in the UK and EU, while also highlighting the challenges and opportunities for AI integration in post-Brexit security frameworks.









6-The intersection of human rights frameworks with security policies in the context of

Brexit

The intersection of human rights frameworks with security policies in the context of Brexit has emerged as a critical area of concern, as the UK navigates its post-EU membership landscape. The importance of upholding human rights considerations in security practices post-Brexit is paramount, given the potential implications for individual freedoms, privacy rights, and civil liberties. The departure from the European Union has raised questions about the impact on human rights protections and the implications for security measures in the UK. This study delves into the significance of human rights frameworks in shaping post-Brexit security policies and explores the potential repercussions of Brexit on human rights protections and security practices.

Importance of Human Rights Considerations:

Analyzing the role of human rights considerations in informing security policies post-Brexit highlights the need to balance security imperatives with respect for fundamental rights and freedoms.

Impact of Brexit on Human Rights Protections:

Examining the impact of Brexit on human rights protections sheds light on the potential challenges and opportunities for safeguarding human rights in the context of evolving security practices.

Implications for Security Practices:

Exploring the implications of Brexit on security practices underscores the need to ensure that security measures are aligned with human rights principles and international legal standards to uphold democratic values and rule of law.

By addressing these points, this study aims to provide insights into the complex interplay between human rights frameworks, Brexit, and security policies, offering a nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities in safeguarding human rights in post-Brexit security contexts.









/. Kemapping Security Notions in

The post-Brexit landscape in the UK has ushered in a period of reevaluation and recalibration of security notions, prompting shifts in paradigms and strategies to adapt to the changing geopolitical and technological environment. Central to this remapping process is the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies and human rights considerations, as the UK seeks to navigate the complex interplay between security imperatives, technological advancements, and fundamental rights. This study delves into the remapping of security notions in the UK post-Brexit, focusing on the evolving security paradigms and strategies, as well as the integration of AI and human rights considerations in shaping the future of security practices.

Shifts in Security Paradigms:

Analyzing the shifts in security paradigms in the UK post-Brexit sheds light on the changing threat landscape, policy priorities, and strategic responses to emerging security challenges.

Strategies for Security Remapping:

Exploring the strategies employed for remapping security notions post-Brexit highlights the adaptive measures, policy frameworks, and institutional changes aimed at enhancing national security resilience and effectiveness.

Integration of AI and Human Rights Considerations:

Investigating the integration of AI technologies and human rights considerations in the remapping process underscores the ethical, legal, and operational implications of leveraging AI for security purposes while upholding fundamental rights and freedoms.

By examining these points, this study aims to provide insights into the dynamic interplay between security paradigms, AI technologies, and human rights considerations in reshaping security notions in the UK post-Brexit.









EU

The United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union (EU) has prompted a reevaluation and recalibration of security notions within the EU. This post-Brexit landscape has necessitated changes in security approaches and policies, as well as the alignment of artificial intelligence (AI) and human rights frameworks with evolving security notions. This study delves into the remapping of security notions in the EU post-Brexit, focusing on the changes in security approaches and policies, as well as the integration of AI and human rights considerations in shaping the future of security practices.

Changes in Security Approaches and Policies:

Analyzing the changes in security approaches and policies within the EU post-Brexit sheds light on the shifting threat landscape, policy priorities, and strategic responses to emerging security challenges. This includes examining the impact of Brexit on information sharing, intelligence cooperation, and border security measures.

Alignment of AI and Human Rights Frameworks:

Exploring the alignment of AI and human rights frameworks with evolving security notions highlights the ethical, legal, and operational implications of leveraging AI for security purposes while upholding fundamental rights and freedoms. This involves examining the development of AI technologies for surveillance, data analysis, and threat detection, and assessing their compatibility with human rights standards.

Implications for EU Security Cooperation:

Investigating the remapping of security notions in the EU post-Brexit also entails examining the implications for EU security cooperation. This includes assessing the potential challenges and opportunities for collaboration in areas such as counterterrorism, cybersecurity, and border management, considering the changing dynamics within the EU and its relationship with the UK.

By examining these points, this study aims to provide insights into the dynamic interplay between security paradigms, AI technologies, and human rights considerations in reshaping security notions in the EU post-Brexit.



9. NovelCooperation







The United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union has ushered in a new era of security cooperation, characterized by evolving approaches to address emerging threats and challenges. In this post-Brexit landscape, the intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and human rights considerations has become increasingly central to shaping the future of security practices within the EU. This study delves into the future directions of security cooperation between the UK and EU in the context of AI and human rights, offering insights and recommendations for policymakers navigating this changing security landscape.

Predictions for the Future of Security Cooperation:

Examining the trajectory of security cooperation between the UK and EU post-Brexit in light of AI and human rights considerations involves forecasting potential scenarios and outcomes. This includes analyzing the impact of technological advancements on intelligence sharing, cross-border operations, and joint security initiatives between the UK and EU.

Recommendations for Policymakers:

Providing actionable recommendations for policymakers to navigate the evolving landscape of security notions entails identifying best practices, policy frameworks, and strategic approaches to enhance collaboration while upholding human rights standards. This may involve promoting transparency in AI use, fostering trust among stakeholders, and ensuring compliance with legal and ethical norms in security practices.









10. Findings

The United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union (EU) has prompted a reevaluation and recalibration of security notions within the EU. This post-Brexit landscape has necessitated changes in security approaches and policies, as well as the alignment of artificial intelligence (AI) and human rights frameworks with evolving security notions. This study delves into the remapping of security notions in the EU post-Brexit, focusing on the changes in security approaches and policies, as well as the integration of AI and human rights considerations in shaping the future of security practices.

Changes in Security Approaches and Policies:

Analyzing the changes in security approaches and policies within the EU post-Brexit sheds light on the shifting threat landscape, policy priorities, and strategic responses to emerging security challenges. This includes examining the impact of Brexit on information sharing, intelligence cooperation, and border security measures.

Findings:

The UK's departure from the EU has led to a reconfiguration of security cooperation mechanisms. While the UK was a key player in intelligence sharing and counterterrorism efforts within the EU, its exit has necessitated the establishment of new frameworks for cooperation. The EU has placed increased emphasis on enhancing its internal security capabilities, including strengthening its external borders and developing its own intelligence networks.

Practical Recommendation: The EU should prioritize the development of robust information sharing mechanisms and intelligence cooperation frameworks to ensure effective collaboration among member states in addressing evolving security challenges. This may involve establishing new partnerships with the UK and other non-EU countries to maintain a comprehensive security network.









Rights

❖ Alignment of AI and Human Rights Frameworks:

Exploring the alignment of AI and human rights frameworks with evolving security notions highlights the ethical, legal, and operational implications of leveraging AI for security purposes while upholding fundamental rights and freedoms. This involves examining the development of AI technologies for surveillance, data analysis, and threat detection, and assessing their compatibility with human rights standards.

Findings:

The integration of AI technologies in security practices presents both opportunities and challenges. On one hand, AI can enhance threat detection capabilities, facilitate data analysis for intelligence purposes, and improve border security measures. However, there are concerns regarding potential privacy infringements, discriminatory biases in algorithmic decision-making, and the erosion of individual freedoms.

Practical Recommendation:

The EU should establish clear guidelines and regulations for the responsible use of AI in security practices, ensuring that human rights are upheld. This includes conducting regular audits of AI systems to detect and mitigate biases, implementing robust data protection measures, and providing transparent explanations for algorithmic decisions to ensure accountability.







Cooperation Conservation

Implications for EU Security Cooperation:

Investigating the remapping of security notions in the EU post-Brexit also entails examining the implications for EU security cooperation. This includes assessing the potential challenges and opportunities for collaboration in areas such as counterterrorism, cybersecurity, and border management, considering the changing dynamics within the EU and its relationship with the UK.

Findings:

The UK's departure from the EU has created a shift in power dynamics within EU security cooperation. While the UK was a major contributor to these efforts, its absence necessitates a redistribution of responsibilities among member states. This presents an opportunity for increased collaboration and integration among EU member states in areas such as intelligence sharing, joint operations, and capacity-building.

Practical Recommendation:

The EU should seize this opportunity to strengthen its internal security cooperation mechanisms by fostering closer collaboration among member states. This may involve developing joint training programs, establishing common databases for information sharing, and enhancing coordination in cross-border operations. Additionally, maintaining a cooperative relationship with the UK in security matters should be pursued through bilateral agreements to ensure continued collaboration.









13. conclusion

- In conclusion, the presentation slides have shed light on the future directions of security cooperation between the UK and the EU in the context of artificial intelligence (AI) and human rights considerations post-Brexit. Key points discussed include predictions for the future of security cooperation, recommendations for policymakers, and the implications of remapping security notions related to AI and human rights.
- The trajectory of security cooperation between the UK and EU post-Brexit is evolving, with technological advancements such as AI playing a central role in shaping security practices. Predictions for the future include a focus on intelligence sharing, cross-border operations, and joint security initiatives that leverage AI capabilities. These developments present opportunities for enhanced collaboration but also raise concerns about data privacy, ethical AI use, and human rights implications.
- Recommendations for policymakers navigating this changing security landscape emphasize the importance of promoting transparency in AI use, fostering trust among stakeholders, and ensuring compliance with legal and ethical norms. Policymakers are encouraged to develop robust policy frameworks that balance security imperatives with respect for human rights, including safeguards against AI bias, discrimination, and infringement on privacy rights.
- The implications of remapping security notions related to AI and human rights post-Brexit are profound. As the UK charts its independent path outside the EU, it must navigate complex challenges in security cooperation while upholding human rights standards. The intersection of AI and human rights considerations presents both opportunities and risks, requiring careful navigation to ensure that security practices align with legal and ethical norms.
- In this new era of security cooperation, collaboration between the UK and EU will be critical in addressing emerging threats and challenges. By leveraging AI technologies responsibly and prioritizing human rights considerations, policymakers can enhance security practices while upholding fundamental rights. It is essential to strike a balance between security imperatives and respect for human rights, ensuring that AI is used as a tool to enhance security rather than compromise individual freedoms.
- Overall, the remapping of security notions related to AI and human rights post-Brexit requires a nuanced approach that considers the complex interplay between technology, security, and human rights. By adopting a forward-thinking mindset, policymakers can navigate these challenges effectively and forge a path towards a more secure and rights-respecting future for the UK and EU.









14. Final Word

In conclusion, the remapping of security notions in the EU post-Brexit requires a careful examination of changes in security approaches and policies, as well as the integration of AI and human rights considerations. By analyzing case studies such as the UK and EU, identifying key findings, and providing practical recommendations, this study contributes to understanding the evolving landscape of security practices in a post-Brexit Europe.

- ☐ Predictions for the future of security cooperation between the UK and EU
- ☐ Recommendations for policymakers to navigate the evolving security landscape









References

- -Allahrakha N. (2023) Balancing Cyber-security and Privacy: Legal and Ethical Considerations in the Digital Age. Legal Issues in the Digital Age, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 78–121. DOI:10.17323/2713-2749.2023.2.78.121
- Arcuri, Maria Cristina. (2020). General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) Implementation: What was the Impact on the Market Value of European Financial Institutions?. Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics. 13. 1-20. 10.17015/ejbe.2020.025.01.
- -Anderson, L. M., & White, R. S. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Integration in Enterprise Resource Planning: Current Trends and Future Directions. Journal of Information Technology Management, 15(3), 87-101.
- -Celeste, Edoardo, 'Data Protection', in Federico Fabbrini (ed.), *The Law & Politics of Brexit: Volume III: The Framework of New EU-UK Relations* (Oxford, 2021; online edn, Oxford Academic, 21 Oct. 2021), https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192848468.003.0010, accessed 30 Apr. 2024.
- European Union, 2024 Shaping Europe's digital future (https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en
- -Floridi, L, Cowls, J, Beltrametti, M, Chatila, R, Chazerand, P, Dignum, V, Luetge, C, Madelin, R, Pagallo, U, Rossi, F, Schafer, B, Valcke, P & Vayena, E(2018). 'Al4People—An ethical framework for a good Al society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations', Minds and machines, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 689-707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5
- -Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V& Tamburrini, G. (2018). Al4People—an ethical framework for a good Al society: opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. Minds and Machines, 28(4), 689-707.
- -Dordrecht, (2011), pp. 139-169, 144.,-Gutwirth S., Poullet Y., De Hert PLeenes R. (eds) Computers, Privacy and Data Protection: an Element of Choice. Springer
- Hastuti, Rochmi & Syafruddin,. (2023). Ethical Considerations in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: Balancing Innovation and Social Values. West Science Social and Humanities Studies. 1. 76-87. 10.58812/wsshs.v1i02.191.
- Hijmans, Hielke and Raab, Charles D., Ethical Dimensions of the GDPR (July 30, 2018). in: Mark Cole and Franziska Boehm (eds.), Commentary on the General Data Protection Regulation, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar (2018, Forthcoming) SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3222677
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1(9), 389-399.
- -Kelty, Christopher. (2004). Culture's Open Sources: Software, Copyright, and Cultural Critique. Anthropological Quarterly. 77. 499-506. 10.1353/anq.2004.0042.https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/policies/regulatory-framework-ai









References

- -Kerry, C. et al., (2021). Strengthening international cooperation on AI, Progress report, Brookings Institution. United States of America. October Progress Report, Pp.1-123
- -Kumar, Sarvesh & Gupta, Upasana & Singh, Arvind & Singh, Avadh. (2023). Artificial Intelligence: Revolutionizing Cyber Security in the Digital Era. Journal of Computers, Mechanical and Management. 2. 31-42. 10.57159/gadl.jcmm.2.3.23064.
- -McGonagle, T. (2012). The Promotion of Cultural Diversity Via New Media Technologies: An Introduction to the Challenges of Operationalisation.
- Mennella, Ciro, Maniscalco Umberto, De Pietro, Giuseppe, Esposito, Massimo (2024). Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in healthcare: A narrative review Heliyon Volume 10, Issue 4 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e26297.
- -Mitrou, Lilian. (2018). Data Protection, Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Services: Is the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) 'Artificial Intelligence-Proof'?. SSRN Electronic Journal. 10.2139/ssrn.3386914.
- -Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. Big Data & Society, 3(2), 2053951716679679.
- -Prysiazhniuk, Ivan. (2023). Use of digital evidence in criminal process: some issues of right to privacy protection. Visegrad Journal on Human Rights. 81-88. 10.61345/1339-7915.2023.5.11.
- -Rai, Paras. (2023). Ethics in AI: A Deep Dive into Privacy Concerns. A Research Proposal, Department of Computer and Informatics Bournemouth University Bournemouth, United Kingdom
- -Ruschemeier H. AI as a challenge for legal regulation the scope of application of the artificial intelligence act proposal. ERA Forum. 2023;23(3):361–76. doi: 10.1007/s12027-022-00725-6. Epub 2023 Jan 9. PMCID: PMC9827441.

Sakhamanesh, Talieh, Ghavam, Seyyed Abdolali, Zakerian Amiri, Mehdi, Barzegar, Keyhan(2022). Brexit: Britain and EU CFSP under the light of Neofunctionalist Constructivism, International Studies Journal(ISJ),19(2),253-272.doi: 10.22034/isj.2022.307586.1623

- Shaelou, S.L., Razmetaeva, Y. Challenges to Fundamental Human Rights in the age of Artificial Intelligence Systems: shaping the digital legal order while upholding Rule of Law principles and European values. *ERA Forum* (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12027-023-00777-2
- Simonite, T. (2018). The rise of artificial intelligence: How AI is transforming industries. Technology Review, 45(2), 56-71.
- -Watt, Eliza. (2017). The role of international human rights law in the protection of online privacy in the age of surveillance. 1-14. 10.23919/CYCON.2017.8240330.
- White L. (2021) What Does Brexit Mean for GDPR? Computer Fraud& Security, no. 3, pp. 8–10. doi: 10.1016/S1361-3723(21)00043-5
- -Zakerian Amiri, Mehdi. (2021) Universalism, human rights, and Islamic relativism, Routledge International Handbook of Contemporary Routledge, Social and Political Theory, Pp. 571-583 https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781003111399-49









Thank You For Joining Us

When the Power of Love, overcomes the Love of Power,

The world will know Peace . . .

Jimi Hendrix